

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/903,612 | Applicant(s) POELUEV ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Kaveh Abrishamkar | Art Unit 2131 | |
| All Participants: | | Status of Application: _____ | |
| (1) <u>Kaveh Abrishamkar</u> . | | (3) _____. | |
| (2) <u>Brett Slaney (Reg. No. 58,772)</u> . | | (4) _____. | |
| Date of Interview: <u>27 February 2007</u> | | Time: _____ | |
| Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) | | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: <i>35 U.S.C. 102 rejection</i> | | | |
| Claims discussed: <i>1 and 5</i> | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: <i>Ellington, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 6,708,218)</i> | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i> | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed the Applicant that the claims would be allowable if independent claim 1 was rewritten to encompass the same scope as independent claims 5 and 10. The Applicant agreed to make such changes which would be incorporated in an Examiner's Amendment..